

# ATTACHMENT 5

Phase	Potential Measure	Location	Support			Additional Comments	Overall	Support	Transportation Committee Comments
Temporary Speed Lump		Almendral Avenue between Ralston Road and Amador Avenue	6	5	11	Data indicates that speeding is not a serious problem and that there has not been a significant increase in accidents or other problems due to speeding. Speed lumps just become an annoyance for residents without really solving any problems, which are the result of too many cars on the road.	POSITIVE	55%	In favor of speed lump on Almedral Avenue between Ralston Road and Amador Avenue
		Fairview Avenue between Atherton Avenue and Camino Al Lago	14	9	23	Data indicates that speeding is not a serious problem and that there has not been a significant increase in accidents or other problems due to speeding. Speed lumps just become an annoyance for residents without really solving any problems, which are the result of too many cars on the road.	POSITIVE	61%	Remove one of the two speed lumps on Fairview Avenue between Atherton Avenue and Camino Al Lago
		Fredrick Avenue between Greenoaks Drive and Ringwood Avenue	3	15	18	1. This will encourage more traffic on Edge & Toyon. 2. do not want speed bump in front of our home or on our street 3. Do not want speed bump in front of our home or on our street	NEGATIVE	17%	Remove one of the two speed lumps on Fredrick Avenue between Greenoaks Drive and Ringwood Avenue
		Linda Vista Avenue between Atherton Avenue and Camino Al Lago	9	8	17	1. Data indicates that speeding is not a serious problem and that there has not been a significant increase in accidents or other problems due to speeding. Speed lumps just become an annoyance for residents without really solving any problems, which are the result of too many cars on the road. 2. terrible idea, the street constantly has construction which slows traffic more than speed bumps	NEUTRAL	53%	Remove one of the two speed lumps on Linda Vista Avenue between Atherton Avenue and Camino Al Lago
		Monte Vista Avenue between Atherton Avenue and Camino Al Lago	9	3	12	Data indicates that speeding is not a serious problem and that there has not been a significant increase in accidents or other problems due to speeding. Speed lumps just become an annoyance for residents without really solving any problems, which are the result of too many cars on the road.	POSITIVE	75%	Remove one of the two speed lumps on Monte Vista Avenue between Atherton Avenue and Camino Al Lago
		Selby Lane between Austin Avenue and Serrano Drive	7	5	12	1. Actually, there should be two additional lumps; one NE of Serrano and a 2nd one to the SW of the main entrance to the school; there is significant Ped traffic between the school yard and Serrano as folks use this route for walking (and biking). 2. Data indicates that speeding is not a serious problem and that there has not been a significant increase in accidents or other problems due to speeding. Speed lumps just become an annoyance for residents without really solving any problems, which are the result of too many cars on the road.	POSITIVE	58%	In favor of speed lump on Selby Lane between Austin Avenue and Serrano Drive
		Stockbridge Avenue between Austin Avenue and Serrano Drive	11	7	18	1. Speed lumps should be installed on Stockbridge between Selby Lane and the Alameda! 2. This should help tremendously with speeding, and especially very dangerous, very highly speeding and often inattentive cut-through traffic that endangers pedestrians' lives especially during am and pm commute hours, and very highly speeding construction related traffic at other times during the day. 3. Data indicates that speeding is not a serious problem and that there has not been a significant increase in accidents or other problems due to speeding. Speed lumps just become an annoyance for residents without really solving any problems, which are the result of too many cars on the road.	POSITIVE	61%	In favor of speed lump on Stockbridge Avenue between Austin Avenue and Serrano Drive
		Watkins Avenue between Atherton Building Department and McCormick Lane	13	9	22	1. Please place lump near existing crosswalk. 2. There is a lot of traffic on this street, especially at some commute hours, but I am very opposed to the addition of speed lumps at this location.	POSITIVE	59%	Move to mid-term phase
		Watkins Avenue between Burns Avenue and Atherton Building Department	11	8	19	1. Please place lump near existing crosswalk. 2. Speed humps are necessary on Glenwood. There is no way to tell you that. 3. I am very opposed to speed lumps at this location. There is no real problem with speeding on this road. There IS some congestion, but speed lumps would hardly improve that and might, in fact, make the flow worse.	POSITIVE	58%	Move to mid-term phase
		Watkins Avenue between Dinkelspiel Station Lane and El Camino Real	13	8	21	1. This is a great location for a temporary speed lump and will make this section of Watkins much safer for the young kids in the neighborhood! 2. would like left turn restriction from Watkins on to El Camino 3. Please place lump near pedestrian crossing at Station Lane. 4. Data indicates that speeding is not a real problem on this street. It is a high traffic street because it is one of the few places where people can cross from El Camino to Middlefield, but the real problem is congestion caused by too many cars and not unsafe driving or speeding. I am very opposed to adding speed lumps to this location. 5. Please please please install this, cars speed way too fast right next to my kids every day 6. i'd prefer a sidewalk	POSITIVE	62%	Move to mid-term phase
Near-Term Measure		Almendral Avenue and Austin Avenue	5	3	8		POSITIVE	63%	Not in favor of striped median islands
		Atherton Avenue and Barry Lane	10	6	16		POSITIVE	63%	Not in favor of striped median islands
		Atherton Avenue and Elena Avenue	8	6	14	Maybe...only way a median will be effective at any intersection is if there are reflectors or other raised objects to slow people down....biggest issue is turning across oncoming traffic, not right hand turns (although people usually rolling-stop thru those)	POSITIVE	57%	Not in favor of striped median islands

Median Island	Atherton Avenue and Fairview Avenue	9	5	14	only if one is at Linda Vista Ave/Atherton Ave as well though	POSITIVE	64%	Not in favor of striped median islands
	Atherton Avenue and Monte Vista Avenue	10	2	12	only if one is at Linda Vista Ave/Atherton Ave as well though	POSITIVE	83%	Not in favor of striped median islands
	Atherton Avenue and Stern Lane			0		NEGATIVE		Not in favor of striped median islands
	Fair Oaks Lane and McCormick Lane	7	6	13	I find that median islands often result in more hazardous roads than in their theoretical benefits.	NEUTRAL	54%	Not in favor of striped median islands
	Fair Oaks Lane and Virginia Lane	7	6	13	I find that median islands often result in more hazardous roads than in their theoretical benefits.	NEUTRAL	54%	Not in favor of striped median islands
	James Avenue and Catalpa Drive	3	8	11	Median island may increase speed of cars	NEGATIVE	27%	Not in favor of striped median islands
	James Avenue and Hawthorne Drive	2	10	12	I find that median islands often result in more hazardous roads than in their theoretical benefits.	NEGATIVE	17%	Not in favor of striped median islands
	James Avenue and Magnolia Drive	3	9	12	I find that median islands often result in more hazardous roads than in their theoretical benefits.	NEGATIVE	25%	Not in favor of striped median islands
	Oak Grove Avenue and De Bell Drive			0		NEGATIVE		Not in favor of striped median islands
	Oak Grove Avenue and Edge Road			0		NEGATIVE		Not in favor of striped median islands
	Oak Grove Avenue and Greenoaks Drive	3	8	11	I find that median islands often result in more hazardous roads than in their theoretical benefits.	NEGATIVE	27%	Not in favor of striped median islands
	Oak Grove Avenue and Toyon Road			0		NEGATIVE		Not in favor of striped median islands
	Selby Lane and Serrano Drive	5	7	12	not sure what this is for	NEGATIVE	42%	Not in favor of striped median islands
	Selby Lane and Shearer Drive	3	7	10	I'm not understanding what this will accomplish	NEGATIVE	30%	Not in favor of striped median islands
	Selby Lane and W Selby Lane	5	4	9	1. a traffic circle is also preferred 2. not sure what this is for or what it would accomplish	POSITIVE	56%	Not in favor of striped median islands
	Stockbridge Avenue and Serrano Drive			0				Not in favor of striped median islands
	Stockbridge Avenue and Serrano Drive	9	5	14	This should help tremendously with speeding, and especially very dangerous, very highly speeding and often inattentive cut-through traffic that endangers pedestrians' lives especially during am and pm commute hours, and very highly speeding construction related traffic at other times during the day.	POSITIVE	64%	Not in favor of striped median islands
	Stockbridge Avenue and Shearer Drive	8	5	13	This should help tremendously with speeding, and especially very dangerous, very highly speeding and often inattentive cut-through traffic that endangers pedestrians' lives especially during am and pm commute hours, and very highly speeding construction related traffic at other times during the day.	POSITIVE	62%	Not in favor of striped median islands
	Tuscaloosa Avenue and Austin Avenue	5	3	8	you need to put something on Austin at Atherton Ave!	POSITIVE	63%	Not in favor of striped median islands
	Pedestrian Improvements	Selby Lane - Mid-block Crosswalk between Serrano Drive and W Selby Lane	10	2	12	1. In general, pedestrian improvements would be good. I do most of my walking at the edge of the street because the street is a smooth surface to walk on. 2. In theory yes, but more specifics would be needed to offer more valuable feedback. 3. Don't need them. Pedestrians should just wait for a break in the traffic or get the cooperation of the vehicles to slow or stop for them to cross.	POSITIVE	83%
Signage	Cam Al Lago between Alameda de las Pulgas and Park Lane	12	2	14	1. but not sure it will do any good 2. speeds reduced by bridge anyway. do not disturb residential character of this neighborhood with such	POSITIVE	86%	In favor of speed feedback sign on Cam Al Lago between Alameda de las Pulgas and
	Selby Lane between Oakwood Boulevard and Logan Lane	7	2	9		POSITIVE	78%	In favor of speed feedback sign on Selby Lane between Oakwood Boulevard and
Signal Coordination	Middlefield Road and Encinal Avenue	14		14	This is a great idea for Middlefield! I have driven it frequently and think it would help a lot with congestion	POSITIVE	100%	In favor of signal coordination all through Middlefield Road
	Middlefield Road and Marsh Road	18	1	19	1. If signal coordination can improve the flow through this intersection and down Middlefield, I would support that. But it's hard to truly answer this question since the proposal is so vague. 2. already done	POSITIVE	95%	In favor of signal coordination all through Middlefield Road
	Middlefield Road and Oak Grove Avenue	14	1	15	1. If signal coordination can increase the flow through Middlefield, I would be in favor of that. But there isn't enough info provided to truly provide valuable feedback. 2. Great great idea!	POSITIVE	93%	In favor of signal coordination all through Middlefield Road
	Middlefield Road and Ravenswood Avenue	12	2	14	If signal coordination can be done in such a way as to alleviate traffic and increase flow through Middlefield I would support that.	POSITIVE	86%	In favor of signal coordination all through Middlefield Road
	Middlefield Road and Ringwood Avenue	12	2	14	1. If signal coordination can be done in such a way as to alleviate traffic and increase flow through Middlefield I would support that. 2. already done 3. Have not noticed much problem here during commute times	POSITIVE	86%	In favor of signal coordination all through Middlefield Road
	Watkins Avenue between Atherton Building Department and McCormick Lane	10	7	17	1. Data indicates that there is no serious speeding problem through the town. So, I am very opposed to the addition of any permanent speed lumps throughout the town. 2. Please place near crosswalk.	POSITIVE	59%	Convert to temporary speed lump

Mid-Term Measures	Permanent Speed Lump	Watkins Avenue between Burns Avenue and Atherton Building Department	9	7	16	1. Data indicates that there is no serious speeding problem through the town. So, I am very opposed to the addition of any permanent speed lumps throughout the town. 2. Please place near crosswalk.	POSITIVE	56%	Convert to temporary speed lump
		Watkins Avenue between Dinkelspiel Station Lane and El Camino Real	9	8	17	1. Data indicates that there is no serious speeding problem through the town. So, I am very opposed to the addition of any permanent speed lumps throughout the town. 2. Please place near crosswalk at Station Lane. 3. Please I beg of you.	NEUTRAL	53%	Convert to temporary speed lump
	Traffic Signal	Alameda de las Pulgas and Atherton Avenue	16	7	23	1. I'm OK with the circle, but I thought that there isn't enough room to accomodate a circle -- A traffic light would be OK with me 2. The circle does not do anything as bikes coming in fast across is a huge issue. There is no space for a traffic circle. Putting a traffic signal is sufficient. And enforcing on bikes stop lights will solve this. Something that slows bikes is required in the intersection. I have entryways both on Atherton and Alameda De Las Pulgas - and hence I see the issues both ways. I have cameras that catch the bikes speeding too. 3. I appreciate the desire to improve traffic flow here. I think it would be very difficult to turn from westbound Atherton to southbound Alameda in the morning with a traffic circle here. The volume of traffic coming down Alameda would make it really difficult to get in. We have to make this left turn daily; the only other option would be a scary unprotected left turn from Stockbridge or Polhemus. 4. This intersection desperately needs a traffic signal, but a traffic circle would also be a significant improvement. 5. In my experience traffic flows well through this intersection and there is no need for a change in signage. Also, I think that because traffic circles are so uncommon in the US, most people don't know how to properly navigate them and you end up with more problems instead of fewer.	POSITIVE	70%	In favor of traffic signal at the intersection of Alameda de las Pulgas and Atherton Avenue

