

Phase	Potential Measure	Location	Support		Total	Additional Comments	Overall Reaction	Support	Objective of Measure	Community Input
			Yes	No				Percentage		
Temporary Speed Lump		Almendral Avenue between Ralston Road and Amador Avenue	6	5	11	Data indicates that speeding is not a serious problem and that there has not been a significant increase in accidents or other problems due to speeding. Speed lumps just become an annoyance for residents without really solving any problems, which are the result of too many cars on the road.	POSITIVE	55%	To discourage cut-through traffic and speeding between El Camino Real, Selby Lane and Alameda de las Pulgas	Almendral Avenue - Concerns received on speeding and cut-through
		Almendral Avenue between Southgate Street and Austin Avenue	5	5	10	Data indicates that speeding is not a serious problem and that there has not been a significant increase in accidents or other problems due to speeding. Speed lumps just become an annoyance for residents without really solving any problems, which are the result of too many cars on the road.	NEUTRAL	50%	To discourage cut-through traffic and speeding between El Camino Real, Selby Lane and Alameda de las Pulgas	Almendral Avenue - Concerns received on speeding and cut-through
		Fairview Avenue between Atherton Avenue and Camino Al Lago	14	9	23	Data indicates that speeding is not a serious problem and that there has not been a significant increase in accidents or other problems due to speeding. Speed lumps just become an annoyance for residents without really solving any problems, which are the result of too many cars on the road.	POSITIVE	61%	To discourage cut-through traffic and speeding between Alameda de las Pulgas, Atherton Avenue and Valparaiso Avenue - avoid the traffic signals on Alameda de las Pulgas near Camino Al Lago and at Valparaiso Avenue	Almendral Avenue - Concerns received on speeding and cut-through
		Fredrick Avenue between Greenoaks Drive and Ringwood Avenue	3	15	18	1. This will encourage more traffic on Edge & Toyon. 2. do not want speed bump in front of our home or on our street 3. Do not want speed bump in front of our home or on our street	NEGATIVE	17%	To discourage cut-through traffic between Middlefield Road, Oak Grove Avenue - avoid the traffic signal at Middlefield Road and Ringwood Avenue	
		Linda Vista Avenue between Atherton Avenue and Camino Al Lago	9	8	17	1. Data indicates that speeding is not a serious problem and that there has not been a significant increase in accidents or other problems due to speeding. Speed lumps just become an annoyance for residents without really solving any problems, which are the result of too many cars on the road. 2. terrible idea, the street constantly has construction which slows traffic more than speed bumps	NEUTRAL	53%	To discourage cut-through traffic and speeding between Alameda de las Pulgas, Atherton Avenue and Valparaiso Avenue - avoid the traffic signals on Alameda de las Pulgas near Camino Al Lago and at Valparaiso Avenue	Linda Vista Avenue - Concerns received on speeding and cut-through
		Monte Vista Avenue between Atherton Avenue and Camino Al Lago	9	3	12	Data indicates that speeding is not a serious problem and that there has not been a significant increase in accidents or other problems due to speeding. Speed lumps just become an annoyance for residents without really solving any problems, which are the result of too many cars on the road.	POSITIVE	75%	To discourage cut-through traffic and speeding between Alameda de las Pulgas, Atherton Avenue and Valparaiso Avenue - avoid the traffic signals on Alameda de las Pulgas near Camino Al Lago and at Valparaiso Avenue	Monte Vista Avenue - Concerns received on speeding and cut-through
		Oak Grove Avenue between De Bell Drive and Middlefield Road	6	6	12	1. Too close to Middlefield. Move just before Toyon 2. Speed lumps never seem to solve actual traffic problems but just make the passage down roads with them unpleasant, especially for residents who have no choice but to go down those roads. 3. Students frequently walk or bike along Oak Grove before and after school; there isn't a consistent walkway on that road so kids are forced to be on the road at points. For these reasons I think it would be good to try out a speed lump to help keep speeds down.	NEUTRAL	50%	To discourage cut-through traffic and speeding between Middlefield Road, Oak Grove Avenue - avoid the traffic signal at Middlefield Road and Ringwood Avenue	Oak Grove Avenue - Concerns received on speeding and cut-through
		Oak Grove Avenue between Encino Road and Manzanita Road	5	8	13	1. This will encourage more traffic on Edge & Toyon. 2. Speed lumps never seem to solve actual traffic problems but just make the passage down roads with them unpleasant, especially for residents who have no choice but to go down those roads.	NEGATIVE	38%	To discourage cut-through traffic and speeding between Middlefield Road, Oak Grove Avenue - avoid the traffic signal at Middlefield Road and Ringwood Avenue	Oak Grove Avenue - Concerns received on speeding and cut-through
		Polhemus Avenue between Marymont Avenue and Orchard Hill Street	4	7	11	Data indicates that speeding is not a serious problem and that there has not been a significant increase in accidents or other problems due to speeding. Speed lumps just become an annoyance for residents without really solving any problems, which are the result of too many cars on the road.	NEGATIVE	36%	To discourage cut-through traffic and speeding between El Camino Real, Selby Lane and Alameda de las Pulgas	Polhemus Avenue - Concerns received on speeding and cut-through
		Polhemus Avenue between Selby Lane and Fleur Place	4	6	10	1. when the current construction project on fleur place is finished (if ever) this shouldn't be an issue any more 2. Data indicates that speeding is not a serious problem and that there has not been a significant increase in accidents or other problems due to speeding. Speed lumps just become an annoyance for residents without really solving any problems, which are the result of too many cars on the road.	NEGATIVE	40%	To discourage cut-through traffic and speeding between El Camino Real, Selby Lane and Alameda de las Pulgas	Polhemus Avenue - Concerns received on speeding and cut-through
		Selby Lane between Austin Avenue and Serrano Drive	7	5	12	1. Actually, there should be two additional lumps; one NE of Serrano and a 2nd one to the SW of the main entrance to the school; there is significant Ped traffic between the school yard and Serrano as folks use this route for walking (and biking). 2. Data indicates that speeding is not a serious problem and that there has not been a significant increase in accidents or other problems due to speeding. Speed lumps just become an annoyance for residents without really solving any problems, which are the result of too many cars on the road.	POSITIVE	58%	To discourage cut-through traffic and speeding between El Camino Real, Woodside Road and Alameda de las Pulgas	Selby Lane - Concerns received on speeding and cut-through
		Selby Lane between Gresham Lane and Shearer Drive	4	6	10	1. It's worth giving it a try to slow down speeders just starting to accelerate at that point. 2. I am opposed to speed lumps (temporary or permanent) as they make the passage down those streets for the residents unnecessarily unpleasant while not solving the true problem, which is too many cars on the road.	NEGATIVE	40%	To discourage cut-through traffic and speeding between El Camino Real, Woodside Road and Alameda de las Pulgas	Selby Lane - Concerns received on speeding and cut-through
		Stockbridge Avenue between Austin Avenue and Serrano Drive	11	7	18	1. Speed lumps should be installed on Stockbridge between Selby Lane and the Alameda! 2. This should help tremendously with speeding, and especially very dangerous, very highly speeding and often inattentive cut-through traffic that endangers pedestrians' lives especially during am and pm commute hours, and very highly speeding construction related traffic at other times during the day. 3. Data indicates that speeding is not a serious problem and that there has not been a significant increase in accidents or other problems due to speeding. Speed lumps just become an annoyance for residents without really solving any problems, which are the result of too many cars on the road.	POSITIVE	61%	To discourage cut-through traffic and speeding between El Camino Real, Selby Lane and Alameda de las Pulgas	Stockbridge Avenue - Concerns received on speeding and cut-through
		Stockbridge Avenue between Nora Way and Shearer Drive	9	6	15	1. This should help tremendously with speeding, and especially very dangerous, very highly speeding and often inattentive cut-through traffic that endangers pedestrians' lives especially during am and pm commute hours, and very highly speeding construction related traffic at other times during the day. 2. Data indicates that speeding is not a serious problem and that there has not been a significant increase in accidents or other problems due to speeding. Speed lumps just become an annoyance for residents without really solving any problems, which are the result of too many cars on the road.	POSITIVE	60%	To discourage cut-through traffic and speeding between El Camino Real, Selby Lane and Alameda de las Pulgas	Stockbridge Avenue - Concerns received on speeding and cut-through
		Tuscaloosa Avenue between Austin Avenue and Selby Lane	4	5	9	Data indicates that speeding is not a serious problem and that there has not been a significant increase in accidents or other problems due to speeding. Speed lumps just become an annoyance for residents without really solving any problems, which are the result of too many cars on the road.	NEGATIVE	44%	To discourage cut-through traffic and speeding between El Camino Real, Selby Lane and Alameda de las Pulgas	Tuscaloosa Avenue - Concerns received on speeding and cut-through
		Tuscaloosa Avenue between El Camino Real and Austin Avenue	3	4	7	Data indicates that speeding is not a serious problem and that there has not been a significant increase in accidents or other problems due to speeding. Speed lumps just become an annoyance for residents without really solving any problems, which are the result of too many cars on the road.	NEGATIVE	43%	To discourage cut-through traffic and speeding between El Camino Real, Selby Lane and Alameda de las Pulgas	Tuscaloosa Avenue - Concerns received on speeding and cut-through

Phase	Potential Measure	Location	Support		Total	Additional Comments	Overall Reaction	Support	Objective of Measure	Community Input
			Yes	No				Percentage		
Near-Term Measure		Watkins Avenue between Atherton Building Department and McCormick Lane	13	9	22	1. Please place lump near existing crosswalk. 2. There is a lot of traffic on this street, especially at some commute hours, but I am very opposed to the addition of speed lumps at this location.	POSITIVE	59%	To discourage cut-through traffic and speeding between El Camino Real and Middlefield Road	Watkins Avenue - Concerns received on speeding and cut-through
		Watkins Avenue between Burns Avenue and Atherton Building Department	11	8	19	1. Please place lump near existing crosswalk. 2. Speed humps are necessary on Glenwood. There is no way to tell you that. 3. I am very opposed to speed lumps at this location. There is no real problem with speeding on this road. There IS some congestion, but speed lumps would hardly improve that and might, in fact, make the flow worse.	POSITIVE	58%	To discourage cut-through traffic and speeding between El Camino Real and Middlefield Road	Watkins Avenue - Concerns received on speeding and cut-through
		Watkins Avenue between Dinkelspiel Station Lane and El Camino Real	13	8	21	1. This is a great location for a temporary speed lump and will make this section of Watkins much safer for the young kids in the neighborhood! 2. would like left turn restriction from Watkins on to El Camino 3. Please place lump near pedestrian crossing at Station Lane. 4. Data indicates that speeding is not a real problem on this street. It is a high traffic street because it is one of the few places where people can cross from El Camino to Middlefield, but the real problem is congestion caused by too many cars and not unsafe driving or speeding. I am very opposed to adding speed lumps to this location. 5. Please please please install this, cars speed way too fast right next to my kids every day 6. i'd prefer a sidewalk	POSITIVE	62%	To discourage cut-through traffic and speeding between El Camino Real and Middlefield Road	Watkins Avenue - Concerns received on speeding and cut-through
	Median Island	Almendral Avenue and Austin Avenue	5	3	8		POSITIVE	63%	To slow down vehicles at the intersection of Almedral Avenue and Asutin Avenue - without slowing down emergency vehicle and reduce vehicle conflict	Almendral Avenue - Concerns received on speeding and cut-through
		Atherton Avenue and Barry Lane	10	6	16		POSITIVE	63%	To slow down vehicles at the intersection of Atherton Avenue and Barry Lane - without slowing down emergency vehicle and reduce vehicle conflict	Atherton Avenue - Concerns received on congestion, cut-through and speeding
		Atherton Avenue and Elena Avenue	8	6	14	Maybe...only way a median will be effective at any intersection is if there are reflectors or other raised objects to slow people down.....biggest issue is turning across oncoming traffic, not right hand turns (although people usually rolling-stop thru those)	POSITIVE	57%	To slow down vehicles at the intersection of Atherton Avenue and Elena Avenue - without slowing down emergency vehicle and reduce vehicle conflict	Atherton Avenue - Concerns received on congestion, cut-through and speeding
		Atherton Avenue and Fairview Avenue	9	5	14	only if one is at Linda Vista Ave/Atherton Ave as well though	POSITIVE	64%	To slow down vehicles at the intersection of Atherton Avenue and Fairview Avenue - without slowing down emergency vehicle and reduce vehicle conflict	Atherton Avenue - Concerns received on congestion, cut-through and speeding
		Atherton Avenue and Monte Vista Avenue	10	2	12	only if one is at Linda Vista Ave/Atherton Ave as well though	POSITIVE	83%	To slow down vehicles at the intersection of Atherton Avenue and Monte Vista Avenue - without slowing down emergency vehicle and reduce vehicle conflict	Fair Oaks Lane - Concerns received on congestion, cut-through and speeding
		Atherton Avenue and Stern Lane								
		Fair Oaks Lane and McCormick Lane	7	6	13	I find that median islands often result in more hazardous roads than in their theoretical benefits.	NEUTRAL	54%	To slow down vehicles at the intersection of Fair Oaks Lane and McCormick Lane - and in turn, along Fair Oaks Lane	Fair Oaks Lane - Concerns received on congestion, cut-through and speeding
		Fair Oaks Lane and Virginia Lane	7	6	13	I find that median islands often result in more hazardous roads than in their theoretical benefits.	NEUTRAL	54%	To slow down vehicles at the intersection of Fair Oaks Lane and Virginia Lane - and in turn, along Fair Oaks Lane	Fair Oaks Lane - Concerns received on congestion, cut-through and speeding
		James Avenue and Catalpa Drive	3	8	11	Median island may increase speed of cars	NEGATIVE	27%	To slow down vehicles at the intersection of James Avenue and Catalpa Drive - and in turn, along James Avenue	James Avenue - Concerns received on speeding and construction traffic
		James Avenue and Hawthorne Drive	2	10	12	I find that median islands often result in more hazardous roads than in their theoretical benefits.	NEGATIVE	17%	To slow down vehicles at the intersection of James Avenue and Hawthorne Drive - and in turn, along James Avenue	James Avenue - Concerns received on speeding and construction traffic
		James Avenue and Magnolia Drive	3	9	12	I find that median islands often result in more hazardous roads than in their theoretical benefits.	NEGATIVE	25%	To slow down vehicles at the intersection of James Avenue and Magnolia Drive - and in turn, along James Avenue	James Avenue - Concerns received on speeding and construction traffic
		Oak Grove Avenue and De Bell Drive			0		NEGATIVE			
		Oak Grove Avenue and Edge Road			0		NEGATIVE			
		Oak Grove Avenue and Greenoaks Drive	3	8	11	I find that median islands often result in more hazardous roads than in their theoretical benefits.	NEGATIVE	27%	To slow down vehicles and discourage cut-through traffic on Oak Grove Avenue (between Middlefield Road and Ringwood Avenue)	Oak Grove Avenue - Concerns received on cut-through traffic
		Oak Grove Avenue and Toyon Road			0		NEGATIVE			
		Selby Lane and Serrano Drive	5	7	12	not sure what this is for	NEGATIVE	42%	To slow down vehicles and discourage cut-through traffic on Selby Lane, and reduce vehicle conflict	Selby Lane - Concerns received on cut-through traffic, speeding and congestion
		Selby Lane and Shearer Drive	3	7	10	I'm not understanding what this will accomplish	NEGATIVE	30%	To slow down vehicles and discourage cut-through traffic on Selby Lane, and reduce vehicle conflict	Selby Lane - Concerns received on cut-through traffic, speeding and congestion
		Selby Lane and W Selby Lane	5	4	9	1. a traffic circle is also preferred 2. not sure what this is for or what it would accomplish	POSITIVE	56%	To slow down vehicles and discourage cut-through traffic on Selby Lane, and reduce vehicle conflict	Selby Lane - Concerns received on cut-through traffic, speeding and congestion
		Stockbridge Avenue and Serrano Drive								
	Median Island	Stockbridge Avenue and Serrano Drive	9	5	14	This should help tremendously with speeding, and especially very dangerous, very highly speeding and often inattentive cut-though traffic that endangers pedestrians' lives especially during am and pm commute hours, and very highly speeding construction related traffic at other times during the day.	POSITIVE	64%	To slow down vehicles and discourage cut-through traffic on Stockbridge Avenue, and reduce vehicle conflict	Stockbridge Avenue - Concerns received on cut-through traffic, speeding and congestion
		Stockbridge Avenue and Shearer Drive	8	5	13	This should help tremendously with speeding, and especially very dangerous, very highly speeding and often inattentive cut-though traffic that endangers pedestrians' lives especially during am and pm commute hours, and very highly speeding construction related traffic at other times during the day.	POSITIVE	62%	To slow down vehicles and discourage cut-through traffic on Stockbridge Avenue, and reduce vehicle conflict	Stockbridge Avenue - Concerns received on cut-through traffic, speeding and congestion
		Tuscaloosa Avenue and Austin Avenue	5	3	8	you need to put something on Austin at Atherton Ave!	POSITIVE	63%	To slow down vehicles and discourage cut-through traffic on Tuscaloosa Avenue, and encourage drivers to stop at the intersection of Tuscaloosa Avenue and Austin Avenue	Tuscaloosa Avenue - Concerns on speeding, cut-through and stop sign violation
		Alejandra Avenue and El Camino Real	12	2	14	1. In theory yes, but without knowing what those actual improvements are it's hard to say. 2. YES!! I'm surprised that more kids are not hit. Give them a clearly designated crosswalk.	POSITIVE	86%	To improve pedestrian safety at the intersection of Alejandra Avenue and El Camino Real	
		Alejandra Avenue - Mid-block Crosswalk between El Camino Real and Brittany Meadows			0					

Phase	Potential Measure	Location	Support		Total	Additional Comments	Overall Reaction	Support	Objective of Measure	Community Input
			Yes	No				Percentage		
	Pedestrian Improvements	Selby Lane - Mid-block Crosswalk between Serrano Drive and W Selby Lane	10	2	12	1. In general, pedestrian improvements would be good. I do most of my walking at the edge of the street because the street is a smooth surface to walk on. 2. In theory yes, but more specifics would be needed to offer more valuable feedback. 3. Don't need them. Pedestrians should just wait for a break in the traffic or get the cooperation of the vehicles to slow or stop for them to cross.	POSITIVE	83%	To improve pedestrian safety for school children at the school on Selby Lane	Selby Lane - Concerns received on cut-through traffic, speeding and congestion
		Park Lane and Elena Avenue								
		Valparaiso Avenue and Emilie Avenue								
		Selby Lane and Austin Avenue	7	3	10	1. In theory, yes, but more details would be needed to provide more valuable feedback. 2. People will cross anywhere along Selby where they can.	POSITIVE	70%	To improve pedestrian safety at the intersection of Selby Lane and Austin Avenue	Selby Lane - Concerns received on cut-through traffic, speeding and congestion
	Signage	Cam Al Lago between Alameda de las Pulgas and Park Lane	12	2	14	1. but not sure it will do any good 2. speeds reduced by bridge anyway. do not disturb residential characacter of this neighborhood with such feedback signage.	POSITIVE	86%	To slow down vehicles and discourage cut-through traffic on Camino Al Lago between Alameda de las Pulgas and Valparaiso Avenue	Camino Al Lago - Concerns on speeding and cut-through
		Fair Oaks Lane between El Camino Real and Middlefield Road					NEGATIVE			
		Selby Lane between Oakwood Boulevard and Logan Lane	7	2	9		POSITIVE	78%	To slow down vehicles and discourage cut-through traffic on Selby Lane between Alameda de las Pulgas and El Camino Real	Selby Lane - Concerns received on cut-through traffic, speeding and congestion
		Stockbridge Avenue between Patricia Drive and Carolina Lane	11	2	13	1. Speed feedback signs are necessary on ALL Bike and pedestrian ways. 2. Probably only modestly helpful. The speeders and cut-through traffic seem to ignore them	POSITIVE	85%	To slow down vehicles and discourage cut-through traffic on Stockbridge Avenue between Alameda de las Pulgas and El Camino Real	Stockbridge Avenue - Concerns received on cut-through traffic, speeding and congestion
	Left Turn Restriction (AM Peak Period)	Alejandra Avenue and El Camino Real	5	5	10	1. Turn restrictions, in general, seem to increase traffic instead of alleviating it. If people can't turn left here, they will clog up the road by having to turn right and find a U-turn or else they will have to go to another street to make their turn. The problem we have is that there are too many cars on the road and these restrictions will not alleviate that problem. 2. This is a tricky intersection because looking right from Alejandra, the foliage in the street divider makes it very hard to see northbound traffic. I'm not a fan of left turn restrictions and would prefer a traffic light (I know, they're expensive) but this is the only point on El Camino where I think the left turn can be truly hazardous.	NEUTRAL	50%	To relieve congestion and increase safety at the intersection of Alejandra Avenue and El Camino Real (while turning left during the AM peak period)	Alejandra Avenue and El Camino Real - Concerns received on unsafe turning and congestion
		Almendral Avenue and El Camino Real	5	8	13	Turn restrictions, in general, seem to increase traffic instead of alleviating it. If people can't turn left here, they will clog up the road by having to turn right and find a U-turn or else they will have to go to another street to make their turn. The problem we have is that there are too many cars on the road and these restrictions will not alleviate that problem.	NEGATIVE	38%	To relieve congestion and increase safety at the intersection of Almendral Avenue and El Camino Real (while turning left during the AM peak period)	Almendral Avenue and El Camino Real - Concerns received on unsafe turning and congestion
		Fredrick Avenue and Ringwood Avenue	3	7	10		NEGATIVE	30%	To relieve congestion and increase safety at the intersection of Fredrick Avenue and Ringwood Avenue (while turning left during the AM peak period)	
		Isabella Avenue and El Camino Real	10	9	19	Turn restrictions, in general, seem to increase traffic instead of alleviating it. If people can't turn left here, they will clog up the road by having to turn right and find a U-turn or else they will have to go to another street to make their turn. The problem we have is that there are too many cars on the road and these restrictions will not alleviate that problem.	NEUTRAL	53%	To relieve congestion and increase safety at the intersection of Isabella Avenue and El Camino Real (while turning left during the AM peak period)	Isabella Avenue and El Camino Real - Concerns received on unsafe turning and congestion
		Glenwood Avenue and Middlefield Road	11	5	16	1. It needs to be all day and on Glenwood and also middlefield going south 2. It needs to be all day to cover the afternoon rush as well. It would also be helpful to put no left turns on NB Middlefield. Plus speed humps on Glenwood (4) to cut the speeding down). This is a Ped and Bike way. 3. A Left Turn restriction will just push traffic onto smaller, ancillary roads. The problem we have in this whole area is that we have too many cars on the road and these restrictions will not address the root source of our problems.	POSITIVE	69%	To relieve congestion and increase safety at the intersection of Glenwood Avenue and Middlefield Road (while turning left during the AM peak period)	Glenwood Avenue and Middlefield Road - Concerns received on unsafe turning and congestion
		Selby Lane and El Camino Real	7	7	14	1. There is a lot of cut through traffic, and school traffic (destination traffic) can go a block further to Oakwood and make a u-turn at the light if necessary 2. These won't stop speeding and will just shift traffic to other strteets. Bad idea 3. Turn restrictions, in general, seem to increase traffic instead of alleviating it. If people can't turn left here, they will clog up the road by having to turn right and find a U-turn or else they will have to go to another street to make their turn. The problem we have is that there are too many cars on the road and these restrictions will not alleviate that problem. 4. I would like a pedestrian LIGHT here actually 5. Must be possible to use Oakwood Drive in Redwood City for left turn 6. the back up is dangerous in the am; or lengthen the turn lane to accommodate 7. What you need to do is get Redwood City to get rid of those "slow Streets" signs to once again encourage flow through traffic through the different Oakwood Streets either to Woodside Road or to El Camino Real. If there is a peak time turning restriction the time period should be pretty narrowly defined timewise.	NEUTRAL	50%	To relieve congestion and increase safety at the intersection of Selby Lane and El Camino Real (while turning left during the AM peak period)	Selby Lane and El Camino Real - Concerns received on unsafe turning, unsafe pedestrian/bicycle conditions and congestion
		Stockbridge Avenue and El Camino Real	8	8	16	1. This will help tremendously with speeding cut-through traffic which is a huge problem during commute hours, both am and pm. 2. Turn restrictions, in general, seem to increase traffic instead of alleviating it. If people can't turn left here, they will clog up the road by having to turn right and find a U-turn or else they will have to go to another street to make their turn. The problem we have is that there are too many cars on the road and these restrictions will not alleviate that problem.	NEUTRAL	50%	To relieve congestion and increase safety at the intersection of Stockbridge Avenue and El Camino Real (while turning left during the AM peak period)	Stockbridge Avenue and El Camino Real - Concerns received on unsafe turning and congestion
		Tuscaloosa Avenue and El Camino Real	6	5	11	Turn restrictions, in general, seem to increase traffic instead of alleviating it. If people can't turn left here, they will clog up the road by having to turn right and find a U-turn or else they will have to go to another street to make their turn. The problem we have is that there are too many cars on the road and these restrictions will not alleviate that problem.	POSITIVE	55%	To relieve congestion and increase safety at the intersection of Tuscaloosa Avenue and El Camino Real (while turning left during the AM peak period)	Tuscaloosa Avenue and El Camino Real - Concerns received on unsafe turning
		Fairview Avenue and Camino Al Lago	5	8	13	1. Strongly oppose! Forces cars to continue down Camino Al Lago and do even longer cut throughs. It just moves the problem for this location to another. 2. In general I am opposed to turn restrictions. If people need to turn, they will have to go to other streets or else they will have to turn as allowed and then find a way to make a U-turn. So, the traffic will still be there, but just re-routed and not necessarily in a more positive way. 3. will be routinely violated and also restrictive on residents of these avenues	NEGATIVE	38%	To discourage cut-through traffic from Alameda de las Pulgas to Valparaiso Avenue (and vice versa) through Fairview Avenue and Camino Al Lago	Concerns regarding using Fairview Avenue to cut-through to Camino Al Lago

Phase	Potential Measure	Location	Support		Total	Additional Comments	Overall Reaction	Support	Objective of Measure	Community Input
			Yes	No				Percentage		
	Left Turn Restriction (PM Peak Period)	Linda Vista Avenue and Camino Al Lago	3	6	9	1. Strongly oppose! Forces cars to continue down Camino Al Lago and do even longer cut throughs. It just moves the problem for this location to another. 2. In general I am opposed to turn restrictions. If people need to turn, they will have to go to other streets or else they will have to turn as allowed and then find a way to make a U-turn. So, the traffic will still be there, but just re-routed and not necessarily in a more positive way. 3. will be routinely violated. also restrictive to residents of these streets. 4. the geography makes a left turn slower anyway, this is unnecessary	NEGATIVE	33%	To discourage cut-through traffic from Alameda de las Pulgas to Valparaiso Avenue (and vice versa) through Linda Vista Avenue and Camino Al Lago	Concerns regarding using Linda Vista Avenue to cut-through to Camino Al Lago
		Monte Vista Avenue and Camino Al Lago	2	6	8	1. Strongly oppose! Forces cars to continue down Camino Al Lago and do even longer cut throughs. It just moves the problem for this location to another. 2. In general I am opposed to turn restrictions. If people need to turn, they will have to go to other streets or else they will have to turn as allowed and then find a way to make a U-turn. So, the traffic will still be there, but just re-routed and not necessarily in a more positive way. 3. will be routinely violated. also restrictive to residents of these streets. 4. this would funnel more traffic to linda vista	NEGATIVE	25%	To discourage cut-through traffic from Alameda de las Pulgas to Valparaiso Avenue (and vice versa) through Monte Vista Avenue and Camino Al Lago	Concerns regarding using Monte Vista Avenue to cut-through to Camino Al Lago
	Right Turn Restrictions	Oak Grove Avenue and Middlefield Road	9	9	18	1. I feel that right turn restrictions are almost universally counter-productive, decrease flow, and increase traffic. 2. No. I have made this right turn hundreds of times as an active volunteer at M-A for 6 years. The current restrictions correlate to times when students are going to M-A and leaving M-A. I support right turn restrictions at those times because they promote student safety. However I think people can follow the usual safety rules about right turns at that location and don't need current restrictions to be extended. 3. Allowing bikes to proceed in bike lane to encourage biking is needed if turn restriction time is increased	NEUTRAL	50%	To discourage cut-through traffic from Ringwood Avenue to Middlefield Road through Oak Grove Avenue	Oak Grove Avenue - Concerns received o cut-through traffic
	Signal Coordination	Middlefield Road and Encinal Avenue	14		14	This is a great idea for Middlefield! I have driven it frequently and think it would help a lot with congestion	POSITIVE	100%	To relieve congestion along Middlefield Road	Middlefiled Road - Concerns receieved on congestion throughout the segment within the City boundaries
		Middlefield Road and Marsh Road	18	1	19	1. If signal coordination can improve the flow through this intersection and down Middlefield, I would support that. But it's hard to truly answer this question since the proposal is so vague. 2. already done	POSITIVE	95%	To relieve congestion along Middlefield Road	Middlefiled Road - Concerns receieved on congestion throughout the segment within the City boundaries
		Middlefield Road and Oak Grove Avenue	14	1	15	1. If signal coordination can increase the flow through Middlefield, I would be in favor of that. But there isn't enough info provided to truly provide valuable feedback. 2. Great great idea!	POSITIVE	93%	To relieve congestion along Middlefield Road	Middlefiled Road - Concerns receieved on congestion throughout the segment within the City boundaries
		Middlefield Road and Ravenswood Avenue	12	2	14	If signal coordination can be done in such a way as to alleviate traffic and increase flow through Middlefield I would support that.	POSITIVE	86%	To relieve congestion along Middlefield Road	Middlefiled Road - Concerns received on congestion throughout the segment within the City boundaries
	Signal Coordination	Middlefield Road and Ringwood Avenue	12	2	14	1. If signal coordination can be done in such a way as to alleviate traffic and increase flow through Middlefield I would support that. 2. already done 3. Have not noticed much problem here during commute times	POSITIVE	86%	To relieve congestion along Middlefield Road	Middlefiled Road - Concerns receieved on congestion throughout the segment within the City boundaries
	Concept 1	Fair Oaks Lane and Lloyden Drive	13	3	16	1. This improves visibility of cross traffic for cars and pedestrians. If it does improve safety, the temporary structures should become permanent curbs. 2. We noticed that there is a proposed left turn restricted (during the AM) from Fair Oaks Ln onto Lloyden Drive. It would be more effective to put a right turn restriction instead (during the AM) for folks driving over the tracks and taking a right from Fair Oaks Ln onto Lloyden Drive as as short cut onto El Camino (by avoiding the stop light).	POSITIVE	81%	To lower conflict of opposing traffic, increase visibility and reduce speed at the intersection	Fair Oaks Lane and Lloyden Drive - concerns on limited sight distance, congestion and stop sign violation
	Temporary Speed Lump	Almendral Avenue between Austin Avenue and Ralston Road	3	5	8	Data indicates that there is no serious speeding problem through the town. So, I am very opposed to the addition of any speed lumps throughout the town.	NEGATIVE	38%	To discourage cut-through traffic and speeding between El Camino Real, Selby Lane and Alameda de las Pulgas	Almendral Avenue - Concerns receieved on speeding and cut-through
		Oak Grove Avenue between Toyon Road and Madrone Road	4	7	11	Data indicates that there is no serious speeding problem through the town. So, I am very opposed to the addition of any speed lumps throughout the town.	NEGATIVE	36%	To discourage cut-through traffic and speeding between Middlefield Road, Oak Grove Avenue - avoid the traffic signal at Middlefield Road and Ringwood Avenue	Oak Grove Avenue - Concerns receieved on speeding and cut-through
		Polhemus Avenue between Betty Lane and Euclid Avenue	2	3	5	Data indicates that there is no serious speeding problem through the town. So, I am very opposed to the addition of any speed lumps throughout the town.	NEGATIVE	40%	To discourage cut-through traffic and speeding between El Camino Real, Selby Lane and Alameda de las Pulgas	Polhemus Avenue - Concerns receieved on speeding and cut-through
		Selby Lane between Logan Lane and Austin Avenue	4	9	13	1. Data indicates that there is no serious speeding problem through the town. So, I am very opposed to the addition of any speed lumps throughout the town. 2. As long as this is an interim solution to figure out if we need permanent speed lumps	NEGATIVE	31%	To discourage cut-through traffic and speeding between El Camino Real, Woodside Road and Alameda de las Pulgas	Selby Lane - Concerns receieved on speeding and cut-through
		Stockbridge Avenue between Austin Avenue and Patricia Drive	9	8	17	1. Data indicates that there is no serious speeding problem through the town. So, I am very opposed to the addition of any speed lumps throughout the town. 2. As long as this is an interim solution to figure out if we need permanent speed lumps 3. This should help tremendously with speeding, and especially very dangerous, very highly speeding and often inattentive cut-though traffic that endangers pedestrians' lives especially during am and pm commute hours, and very highly speeding construction related traffic at other times during the day.	NEUTRAL	53%	To discourage cut-through traffic and speeding between El Camino Real, Selby Lane and Alameda de las Pulgas	Stockbridge Avenue - Concerns receieved on speeding and cut-through
		Stockbridge Avenue between Serrano Drive and Amador Avenue	9	8	17	1. Data indicates that there is no serious speeding problem through the town. So, I am very opposed to the addition of any speed lumps throughout the town. 2. This should help tremendously with speeding, and especially very dangerous, very highly speeding and often inattentive cut-though traffic that endangers pedestrians' lives especially during am and pm commute hours, and very highly speeding construction related traffic at other times during the day.	NEUTRAL	53%	To discourage cut-through traffic and speeding between El Camino Real, Selby Lane and Alameda de las Pulgas	Stockbridge Avenue - Concerns receieved on speeding and cut-through
		Almendral Avenue between Ralston Road and Amador Avenue	3	5	8	Data indicates that there is no serious speeding problem through the town. So, I am very opposed to the addition of any permanent speed lumps throughout the town.	NEGATIVE	38%	To discourage cut-through traffic and speeding between El Camino Real, Selby Lane and Alameda de las Pulgas	Almendral Avenue - Concerns receieved on speeding and cut-through
		Almendral Avenue between Southgate Street and Austin Avenue	3	6	9	Data indicates that there is no serious speeding problem through the town. So, I am very opposed to the addition of any permanent speed lumps throughout the town.	NEGATIVE	33%	To discourage cut-through traffic and speeding between El Camino Real, Selby Lane and Alameda de las Pulgas	Almendral Avenue - Concerns receieved on speeding and cut-through

Phase	Potential Measure	Location	Support		Total	Additional Comments	Overall Reaction	Support	Objective of Measure	Community Input
			Yes	No				Percentage		
Mid-Term Measures	Permanent Speed Lump	Fairview Avenue between Atherton Avenue and Camino Al Lago	8	9	17	Data indicates that there is no serious speeding problem through the town. So, I am very opposed to the addition of any permanent speed lumps throughout the town.	NEUTRAL	47%	To discourage cut-through traffic and speeding between Alameda de las Pulgas, Atherton Avenue and Valparaiso Avenue - avoid the traffic signals on Alameda de las Pulgas near Camino Al Lago and at Valparaiso Avenue	Almendral Avenue - Concerns received on speeding and cut-through
		Fredrick Avenue between Greenoaks Drive and Ringwood Avenue	3	18	21	1. Data indicates that there is no serious speeding problem through the town. So, I am very opposed to the addition of any permanent speed lumps throughout the town. 2. Do not want a speed bump in front of our home or on the street. Creates more noise.	NEGATIVE	14%	To discourage cut-through traffic between Middlefield Road, Oak Grove Avenue - avoid the traffic signal at Middlefield Road and Ringwood Avenue	
		Linda Vista Avenue between Atherton Avenue and Camino Al Lago	6	9	15	1. Data indicates that there is no serious speeding problem through the town. So, I am very opposed to the addition of any permanent speed lumps throughout the town. 2. I live on Linda Vista! For 25 years, not a need 3. This is a very narrow street; adding a speed lump would make it even more dangerous, particularly for parked cars.	NEGATIVE	40%	To discourage cut-through traffic and speeding between Alameda de las Pulgas, Atherton Avenue and Valparaiso Avenue - avoid the traffic signals on Alameda de las Pulgas near Camino Al Lago and at Valparaiso Avenue	Linda Vista Avenue - Concerns received on speeding and cut-through
		Monte Vista Avenue between Atherton Avenue and Camino Al Lago	5	3	8	Data indicates that there is no serious speeding problem through the town. So, I am very opposed to the addition of any permanent speed lumps throughout the town.	POSITIVE	63%	To discourage cut-through traffic and speeding between Alameda de las Pulgas, Atherton Avenue and Valparaiso Avenue - avoid the traffic signals on Alameda de las Pulgas near Camino Al Lago and at Valparaiso Avenue	Monte Vista Avenue - Concerns received on speeding and cut-through
		Oak Grove Avenue between De Bell Drive and Middlefield Road	3	7	10	Data indicates that there is no serious speeding problem through the town. So, I am very opposed to the addition of any permanent speed lumps throughout the town.	NEGATIVE	30%	To discourage cut-through traffic and speeding between Middlefield Road, Oak Grove Avenue - avoid the traffic signal at Middlefield Road and Ringwood Avenue	Oak Grove Avenue - Concerns received on speeding and cut-through
		Oak Grove Avenue between Encino Road and Manzanita Road	2	9	11	Data indicates that there is no serious speeding problem through the town. So, I am very opposed to the addition of any permanent speed lumps throughout the town.	NEGATIVE	18%	To discourage cut-through traffic and speeding between Middlefield Road, Oak Grove Avenue - avoid the traffic signal at Middlefield Road and Ringwood Avenue	Oak Grove Avenue - Concerns received on speeding and cut-through
		Polhemus Avenue between Marymont Avenue and Orchard Hill Street	1	5	6	Data indicates that there is no serious speeding problem through the town. So, I am very opposed to the addition of any permanent speed lumps throughout the town.	NEGATIVE	17%	To discourage cut-through traffic and speeding between El Camino Real, Selby Lane and Alameda de las Pulgas	Polhemus Avenue - Concerns received on speeding and cut-through
		Polhemus Avenue between Selby Lane and Fleur Place	2	5	7	Data indicates that there is no serious speeding problem through the town. So, I am very opposed to the addition of any permanent speed lumps throughout the town.	NEGATIVE	29%	To discourage cut-through traffic and speeding between El Camino Real, Selby Lane and Alameda de las Pulgas	Polhemus Avenue - Concerns received on speeding and cut-through
		Selby Lane between Austin Avenue and Serrano Drive	6	10	16	Data indicates that there is no serious speeding problem through the town. So, I am very opposed to the addition of any permanent speed lumps throughout the town.	NEGATIVE	38%	To discourage cut-through traffic and speeding between El Camino Real, Woodside Road and Alameda de las Pulgas	Selby Lane - Concerns received on speeding and cut-through
		Selby Lane between Gresham Lane and Shearer Drive	6	7	13	1. Data indicates that there is no serious speeding problem through the town. So, I am very opposed to the addition of any permanent speed lumps throughout the town. 2. Won't slow traffic and just create problems for residents	NEUTRAL	46%	To discourage cut-through traffic and speeding between El Camino Real, Woodside Road and Alameda de las Pulgas	Selby Lane - Concerns received on speeding and cut-through
		Stockbridge Avenue between Austin Avenue and Serrano Drive	8	8	16	1. Data indicates that there is no serious speeding problem through the town. So, I am very opposed to the addition of any permanent speed lumps throughout the town. 2. This should help tremendously with speeding, and especially very dangerous, very highly speeding and often inattentive cut-though traffic that endangers pedestrians' lives especially during am and pm commute hours, and very highly speeding construction related traffic at other times during the day.	NEUTRAL	50%	To discourage cut-through traffic and speeding between El Camino Real, Selby Lane and Alameda de las Pulgas	Stockbridge Avenue - Concerns received on speeding and cut-through
		Stockbridge Avenue between Nora Way and Shearer Drive	8	7	15	1. Data indicates that there is no serious speeding problem through the town. So, I am very opposed to the addition of any permanent speed lumps throughout the town. 2. This should help tremendously with speeding, and especially very dangerous, very highly speeding and often inattentive cut-though traffic that endangers pedestrians' lives especially during am and pm commute hours, and very highly speeding construction related traffic at other times during the day. 3. I would, but I would move it further west. This spot is too close to the intersection.	NEUTRAL	53%	To discourage cut-through traffic and speeding between El Camino Real, Selby Lane and Alameda de las Pulgas	Stockbridge Avenue - Concerns received on speeding and cut-through
		Tuscaloosa Avenue between Austin Avenue and Selby Lane	3	4	7	1. Data indicates that there is no serious speeding problem through the town. So, I am very opposed to the addition of any permanent speed lumps throughout the town. 2. Prefer No Left Turn Sign 7:30AM to 9AM from Selby to Tuscaloosa (huge shortcut for commuters and parents of Sacred Heart & Menlo	NEGATIVE	43%	To discourage cut-through traffic and speeding between El Camino Real, Selby Lane and Alameda de las Pulgas	Tuscaloosa Avenue - Concerns received on speeding and cut-through
		Tuscaloosa Avenue between El Camino Real and Austin Avenue	2	5	7	Data indicates that there is no serious speeding problem through the town. So, I am very opposed to the addition of any permanent speed lumps throughout the town.	NEGATIVE	29%	To discourage cut-through traffic and speeding between El Camino Real, Selby Lane and Alameda de las Pulgas	Tuscaloosa Avenue - Concerns received on speeding and cut-through
		Watkins Avenue between Atherton Building Department and McCormick Lane	10	7	17	1. Data indicates that there is no serious speeding problem through the town. So, I am very opposed to the addition of any permanent speed lumps throughout the town. 2. Please place near crosswalk.	POSITIVE	59%	To discourage cut-through traffic and speeding between El Camino Real and Middlefield Road	Watkins Avenue - Concerns received on speeding and cut-through
		Watkins Avenue between Burns Avenue and Atherton Building Department	9	7	16	1. Data indicates that there is no serious speeding problem through the town. So, I am very opposed to the addition of any permanent speed lumps throughout the town. 2. Please place near crosswalk.	POSITIVE	56%	To discourage cut-through traffic and speeding between El Camino Real and Middlefield Road	Watkins Avenue - Concerns received on speeding and cut-through
		Watkins Avenue between Dinkelspiel Station Lane and El Camino Real	9	8	17	1. Data indicates that there is no serious speeding problem through the town. So, I am very opposed to the addition of any permanent speed lumps throughout the town. 2. Please place near crosswalk at Station Lane. 3. Please I beg of you.	NEUTRAL	53%	To discourage cut-through traffic and speeding between El Camino Real and Middlefield Road	Watkins Avenue - Concerns received on speeding and cut-through
	Temporary Traffic Circle	Atherton Avenue and Austin Avenue	8	6	14	1. Won't slow speeders and just inconvenience residents 2. Traffic circles are so uncommon in the US that most drivers don't know how to navigate them and intersections that have them become worse not better.	POSITIVE	57%	To discourage speeding, cut-through and congestions along Atherton Avenue	Atherton Avenue - Concerns regarding speeding, cut-through and congestion
		Atherton Avenue and Linda Vista Avenue	6	11	17	1. Traffic circles are so uncommon in the US that most drivers don't know how to navigate them and intersections that have them become worse not better. 2. absolutely not. only if at similar intersections on Fairview and Monte Vista with Atherton Avenue 3. This is a very narrow street with lots of construction. I'm not sure there is enough room for a traffic circle here.	NEGATIVE	35%	To discourage speeding, cut-through and congestions along Atherton Avenue	Atherton Avenue - Concerns regarding speeding, cut-through and congestion

Phase	Potential Measure	Location	Support		Total	Additional Comments	Overall Reaction	Support	Objective of Measure	Community Input
			Yes	No				Percentage		
		Atherton Avenue and Selby Lane	9	8	17	1. I think this would encourage cut-through traffic that uses Selby and/or Stockbridge to/from Alameda and 84. 2. Traffic circles are so uncommon in the US that most drivers don't know how to navigate them and intersections that have them become worse not better.	NEUTRAL	53%	To discourage speeding, cut-through and congestions along Atherton Avenue	Atherton Avenue - Concerns regarding speeding, cut-through and congestion
		Linden Avenue and Hawthorne Drive	4	9	13	Traffic circles are so uncommon in the US that most drivers don't know how to navigate them and intersections that have them become worse not better.	NEGATIVE	31%	To narrow down the intersection	
	Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon	Alameda de las Pulgas and Camino Al Lago	6	6	12	And, Remove the bulb-out and beacon on the Alameda located 1/2 block to the southeast -- the bulb-out is a potential hazard to cyclists as it partially blocks the bike lane on the Alameda	NEUTRAL	50%	To increase pedestrian safety (especially for school children)	Concerns received on unsafe walking/biking near schools
		Alejandra Avenue between El Camino Real and Brittany Meadows	3	4	7		NEGATIVE	43%	To increase pedestrian safety (especially for school children)	Concerns received on unsafe walking/biking near schools
		Selby Lane at Adelante Selby Spanish Immersion School	4	7	11		NEGATIVE	36%	To increase pedestrian safety (especially for school children)	Concerns received on unsafe walking/biking near schools
	Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon	Valparaiso Avenue and Elena Avenue	5	6	11	1. Most of the cross non-motorized cross traffic are bikes. The beacon would be OK if the bike were automatically detected (rather than the cyclist accessing the button in an unsafe position at the side of the road). Consequently, I don't see that this is feasible.... What is needed is a traffic light. IN ADDITION, Elena needs speed tables installed ... Motorist tend to go to fast -- This is a major bike route that connects Redwood City to Stanford. 2. YES if it is the only one for Sacred Heart, isn't there already one mid-block? 3. I do not see the point of another distraction, like a flashing beacon, at an intersection that already has a light.	NEUTRAL	45%	To increase pedestrian safety (especially for school children)	Concerns received on unsafe walking/biking near schools
	Traffic Signal	Alameda de las Pulgas and Atherton Avenue	16	7	23	1. I'm OK with the circle, but I thought that there isn't enough room to accomodate a circle -- A traffic light would be OK with me 2. The circle does not do anything as bikes coming in fast across is a huge issue. There is no space for a traffic circle. Putting a traffic signal is sufficient. And enforcing on bikes stop lights will solve this. Something that slows bikes is required in the intersection. I have entryways both on Atherton and Alameda De Las Pulgas - and hence I see the issues both ways. I have cameras that catch the bikes speeding too. 3. I appreciate the desire to improve traffic flow here. I think it would be very difficult to turn from westbound Atherton to southbound Alameda in the morning with a traffic circle here. The volume of traffic coming down Alameda would make it really difficult to get in. We have to make this left turn daily; the only other option would be a scary unprotected left turn from Stockbridge or Polhemus. 4. This intersection desperately needs a traffic signal, but a traffic circle would also be a significant improvement. 5. In my experience traffic flows well through this intersection and there is no need for a change in signage. Also, I think that because traffic circles are so uncommon in the US, most people don't know how to properly navigate them and you end up with more problems instead of fewer.	POSITIVE	70%	To reduce congestion, discourage stop sign violations and improve turning	Concerns received on congestions, unsafe walking and biking, stop sign violation and speeding
	Concept 2	Stockbridge Avenue and Selby Lane	12	8	20	1. My reason for opposing is (1) we walk here daily and it can be difficult to cross as a pedestrian. I think a traffic circle would make it more dangerous; and (2) it seems like there is a lot of cut-through traffic that comes Atherton Ave (from El Camino or Barney) (speeding) up Selby and then (speeding) west on Stockbridge towards Alameda/84/280. I think this roundabout would encourage that traffic. 2. Not if it is to replace the stop signs. The stop signs are working. Based on my observations, drivers do always stop at this intersection, which helps to reduce their speed along Stockbridge Avenue at least somewhat, reduces the risks pedestrians trying to cross at this intersection and reduces the risk of collisions.. Eliminating the stop signs would just result in already speeding cut-through commuters speeding through this intersection and even faster down Stockbridge Avenue and probably an increase in collisions between cars and increased danger to pedestrians at this intersection. 3. Is this a traffic circle or a 4-way stop? Those are opposite concepts, so this design is asking for trouble. 4. Traffic circles are so uncommon in the US that most drivers don't know how to navigate them and intersections that have them become worse not better. This one is particularly bad and confusing.	POSITIVE	60%	To narrow down the intersection, increase visibility and reduce conflicts of opposing traffic	Concerns received on stop sign violation and cut-through traffic
	Class I Bike Lane	Marsh Road between Middlefield Road and Fair Oaks Avenue	6	2	8	It is pretty dangerous for pedestrians and bikers right now to use Marsh.	POSITIVE	75%	To increase safety for pedestrians and bicyclists	Marsh Road within City boundary - Concerns received on unsafe walking and biking environment
	Class II Bike Lane	Atherton Avenue between El Camino Real and Alameda de las Pulgas	16	3	19	1. It should also take into account pedestrians. 2. My "no" is really more of a "maybe" - Atherton Ave is a high-traffic street, and you can't just run a strip of paint, since it's not wide enough. So what is the real plan? Widen the street? Does the town have the right to do that along all those properties? More information is needed to make an informed decision. 3. Would be a great connection and encourage biking	POSITIVE	84%	To increase safety for pedestrians and bicyclists	Atherton Avenue - Concerns received on unsafe walking and biking environment
		Selby Lane between El Camino Real and W. Selby Lane			0					
		Selby Lane between Selby Lane and Atherton Avenue	11	2	13	It should also take into account pedestrians.	POSITIVE	85%	To increase safety for pedestrians and bicyclists	Selby Lane - Concerns received on unsafe walking and biking environment
		Stockbridge Avenue between El Camino Real and Alameda de las Pulgas	23	6	29	1. It should also take into account pedestrians. 2. yes, please! 3. Should reduce the risk to bicycles. 4. Would encourage biking and reduce car trips 5. more pedestrian improvements required 6. This is a bit confusing.... There is already a bike lane between ECR and Oakwood.... I think you mean extending the bike lane along Selby Lane past the school.... Yes, this needs to be done 7. I support bikers but I don't want to diminish the rural flavor of the neighborhood with pronounced bike lanes. I'm ok with bike lanes if they are not so prominent. 8. It should also take into account pedestrians.	POSITIVE	79%	To increase safety for pedestrians and bicyclists	Stockbridge Avenue - Concerns received on unsafe walking and biking environment
		Valparaiso Avenue between El Camino Real and Camino Al Lago	8	2	10	As I cyclist, I strongly oppose so-called "enhanced" bike lanes.	POSITIVE	80%	To increase safety for pedestrians and bicyclists	Valparaiso Avenue - Concerns received on unsafe walking and biking environment

Phase	Potential Measure	Location	Support		Total	Additional Comments	Overall Reaction	Support	Objective of Measure	Community Input
			Yes	No				Percentage		
Long-Term Measures	Enhanced Bike Lane	Alameda de las Pulgas between Stockbridge Avenue and Camino Al Lago	6	5	11	1. But not a Class 4 "Protected". Class 4 is incompatible with bikers going different speeds; picture "granny" pedalling at 5 mph and some one an e-bike going 20 mph -- the "protection" does not allow for the faster person the safely pass the slower individual. 2. I doubt an enhanced bike lane would make a difference. 3. I cycle this route almost daily, "enhanced" bike lanes are something most cyclists learn to avoid. The existing wide shoulder is wonderful - about the best improvement would be running a street sweeper to remove debris - that would actually improve safety.	POSITIVE	55%	To increase safety for pedestrians and bicyclists	Alameda de las Pulgas - Concerns received on unsafe walking and biking environment
	Permanent Speed Lump	Almendral Avenue between Austin Avenue and Ralston Road	4	5	9	Data indicates that there is no serious problem with speeding that would require the addition of any speed lumps, which just make everyday traffic for residents unpleasant.	NEGATIVE	44%	To discourage cut-through traffic and speeding between El Camino Real, Selby Lane and Alameda de las Pulgas	Almendral Avenue - Concerns received on speeding and cut-through
		Oak Grove Avenue between Toyon Road and Madrone Road	4	7	11	1. Good location between Toyon & Middlefield. 2. Data indicates that there is no serious problem with speeding that would require the addition of any speed lumps, which just make everyday traffic for residents unpleasant.	NEGATIVE	36%	To discourage cut-through traffic and speeding between Middlefield Road, Oak Grove Avenue - avoid the traffic signal at Middlefield Road and Ringwood Avenue	Oak Grove Avenue - Concerns received on speeding and cut-through
		Polhemus Avenue between Betty Lane and Euclid Avenue	4	4	8	Data indicates that there is no serious problem with speeding that would require the addition of any speed lumps, which just make everyday traffic for residents unpleasant.	NEUTRAL	50%	To discourage cut-through traffic and speeding between El Camino Real, Selby Lane and Alameda de las Pulgas	Polhemus Avenue - Concerns received on speeding and cut-through
		Selby Lane between Logan Lane and Austin Avenue	5	6	11	Data indicates that there is no serious problem with speeding that would require the addition of any speed lumps, which just make everyday traffic for residents unpleasant.	NEUTRAL	45%	To discourage cut-through traffic and speeding between El Camino Real, Woodside Road and Alameda de las Pulgas	Selby Lane - Concerns received on speeding and cut-through
		Stockbridge Avenue between Austin Avenue and Patricia Drive	11	5	16	1. This should help tremendously with speeding, and especially very dangerous, very highly speeding and often inattentive cut-though traffic that endangers pedestrians' lives especially during am and pm commute hours, and very highly speeding construction related traffic at other times during the day. 2. Data indicates that there is no serious problem with speeding that would require the addition of any speed lumps, which just make everyday traffic for residents unpleasant.	POSITIVE	69%	To discourage cut-through traffic and speeding between El Camino Real, Selby Lane and Alameda de las Pulgas	Stockbridge Avenue - Concerns received on speeding and cut-through
		Stockbridge Avenue between Serrano Drive and Amador Avenue	9	5	14	1. This should help tremendously with speeding, and especially very dangerous, very highly speeding and often inattentive cut-though traffic that endangers pedestrians' lives especially during am and pm commute hours, and very highly speeding construction related traffic at other times during the day. 2. Data indicates that there is no serious problem with speeding that would require the addition of any speed lumps, which just make everyday traffic for residents unpleasant.	POSITIVE	64%	To discourage cut-through traffic and speeding between El Camino Real, Selby Lane and Alameda de las Pulgas	Stockbridge Avenue - Concerns received on speeding and cut-through
	Permanent Traffic Circle	Atherton Avenue and Austin Avenue	6	5	11	1. YES!!!!!!!!!!!! 2. Won't slow traffic and just inconvenience residents 3. Traffic circles are so uncommon in the US that most drivers don't know how to navigate them and they create more traffic than they solve.	POSITIVE	55%	To discourage speeding, cut-through and congestions along Atherton Avenue	Atherton Avenue - Concerns regarding speeding, cut-through and congestion
		Atherton Avenue and Linda Vista Avenue	7	9	16	1. Traffic circles are so uncommon in the US that most drivers don't know how to navigate them and they create more traffic than they solve. 2. absolutely not. only if traffic circles are also at the intersections of Fairview and Monte Vista with Atherton Ave. Treating these three cut through streets differently will ultimately force traffic from all three to one. 3. If well designed to accommodate bikes as well	NEGATIVE	44%	To discourage speeding, cut-through and congestions along Atherton Avenue	Atherton Avenue - Concerns regarding speeding, cut-through and congestion
		Atherton Avenue and Selby Lane	8	7	15	1. see previous comments re encouraging cut through traffic 2. YES 3. Traffic circles are so uncommon in the US that most drivers don't know how to navigate them and they create more traffic than they solve.	NEUTRAL	53%	To discourage speeding, cut-through and congestions along Atherton Avenue	Atherton Avenue - Concerns regarding speeding, cut-through and congestion
		Linden Avenue and Hawthorne Drive	3	9	12	1. The area is a Y and in no need of a traffic circle 2. Traffic circles are so uncommon in the US that most drivers don't know how to navigate them and they create more traffic than they solve.	NEGATIVE	25%	To narrow down the intersection	
	Dedicated Right Turn Lane	Watkins Avenue and El Camino Real	11	6	17	1. hurrah! 2. My answer is actually "maybe". I bicycle this intersection daily and I would be interested to know how you propose to add a dedicated right-turn lane to a two-lane road. Eminent domain of the properties along that area? More details are needed. 3. that would just encourage more traffic	POSITIVE	65%	To increase intersection safety and safe turning from Watkins Avenue to El Camino Real	Concerns received on unsafe turning
	Pedestrian Improvements	Selby Lane and El Camino Real	8	2	10	1. There needs to be better connectivity from the residential area east of ECR and Selby Lane School 2. I think a median resting point for pedestrians is all we need for Selby and El Camino. We don't need any blinking lights or grade level blinkers. There is not a high need for pedestrians to cross El Camino at Selby Lane. They can walk to 5th Ave where there is a traffic light or to Oakwood where there is a traffic light	POSITIVE	80%	To increase safety for pedestrians and bicyclists	Concerns received on unsafe walking/biking
	Traffic Signal	Alameda de las Pulgas and Camino Al Lago	7	5	12	1. I think a signal is too much, but am supportive of other measures 2. Maybe - depends what the studies show this signal achieves. 3. The priority should be a traffic signal at Atherton Ave.	POSITIVE	58%	To increase intersection safety and safe turning movement	Concerns received on congestions and unsafe walking/biking
		Marsh Road and Fair Oaks Avenue	5	11	16	Supporting new traffic lights it complicated; in some cases they may be warranted at rush-hour traffic, only to be a constant annoyance the remaining hours of the day.	NEGATIVE	31%	To increase intersection safety and safe turning movement	Concerns received on congestions
	Traffic Signal	Middlefield Road and Fair Oaks Lane	10	7	17	It can be very difficult during peak hours to turn left onto Middlefield. Turning right is also difficult as cars ignore any keep clear signs in intersection cutting off others from joining the line-up to turn down Marsh Rd	POSITIVE	59%	To increase intersection safety and safe turning movement	Concerns received on congestions
		Middlefield Road and Watkins Avenue	9	11	20	1. Wasn't there consideration of no left onto Middlefied? or extend the right turn lane 2. Only at peak commute hours. I would rather discourage the use of Watkins as a main artery through town 3. Only if it is timed with the Marsh light and the light at Encinal. If not coordinated, then NO. 4. I'm concerned this would encourage more cut through traffic on Watkins which already has lots of speeders 5. that would just encourage more traffic	NEGATIVE	45%	To increase intersection safety and safe turning movement	Concerns received on congestions and unsafe walking/biking
	Concept 3	Fair Oaks Lane and Lloyden Drive	5	5	10	Not sure what this is this cannot comment	NEUTRAL	50%	To lower conflict of opposing traffic, increase visibility and reduce speed at the intersection	Fair Oaks Lane and Lloyden Drive - concerns on limited sight distance, congestion and stop sign violation
		Stockbridge Avenue and Selby Lane	10	6	16	1. See prior comments re pedestrian danger and encouraging cut through traffic 2. Not if it is to replace the stop signs.	POSITIVE	63%	To narrow down the intersection, increase visibility and reduce conflicts of opposing traffic	Concerns received on stop sign violation and cut-through traffic