

From: [Anthony Wynne](#)
To: [Council](#); [Council](#)
Subject: Closing the Atherton Train Station
Date: Saturday, October 24, 2020 4:02:53 PM

[The e-mail below is from an external source. Please do not open attachments or click links from an unknown or suspicious origin.]

Dear Councilmembers,

I watched the Zoom video of the October 21 Town Council meeting. I am glad we have so many good attorneys in Atherton, both on and off the Town payroll. I do have a question, however:

Why is the source of JPB's funding for its costs to fulfill its contractual obligations even mentioned in the contracts? This is not—or should not—be our concern. Arguably, all this does is weaken the Town's position by making JPB's performance contingent upon receipt of those funds, or at least inviting this argument. This does the Town no good and gives JPB lots of wiggle room.

Moreover, should we agree to such language, and if Measure RR should pass, JPB would not need outside funding, but we would still be stuck with this language.

Once JPB has gotten on site and "decommissioned" the train station, they will have what they want, and we will have nothing but squishy, contingent, difficult-to-enforce promises.

As I previously indicated, I am strongly in favor of closing the Atherton station, but I agree with those who counsel caution when dealing with Caltrain/JPB.

Anthony Wynne
Jennings Lane